# MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF THE EDINA CITY COUNCIL HELD AT CITY HALL DECEMBER 2, 2008 7:06 P.M.

ROLLCALL Answering rollcall were Members Bennett, Housh, Masica, Swenson and Mayor Hovland.

<u>CONSENT AGENDA ITEMS APPROVED</u> Motion made by Member Bennett and seconded by Member Masica approving the Council Consent Agenda.

Rollcall:

Ayes: Bennett, Housh, Masica, Swenson, Hovland

Motion carried.

\*MINUTES - REGULAR MEETING OF NOVEMBER 18, 2008, WORK SESSION OF NOVEMBER 18, 2008, RECOUNT CANVASS OF NOVEMBER 18, 2008, AND WORK SESSION OF NOVEMBER 13, 2008 APPROVED Motion made by Member Bennett and seconded by Member Masica approving the Regular Meeting of November 18, 2008, Work Session of November 18, 2008, Recount Canvass of November 18, 2008, and Work Session of November 13, 2008.

Motion carried on rollcall vote – five ayes.

<u>PUBLIC HEARING CONTINUED ON PUBLIC IMPROVEMENT NO. S-101 – FRANCE AVENUE SOUTH SIDEWALK – CONTINUED TO JANUARY 6, 2009</u> Affidavits of Notice presented and ordered placed on file.

Motion made by Member Bennett and seconded by Member Masica continuing public hearing on Public Improvement No. S-101, France Avenue South Sidewalk, to January 6, 2009.

Ayes: Bennett, Housh, Masica, Swenson, Hovland

Motion carried.

<u>PUBLIC HEARING HELD ON PRELIMINARY AND FINAL PLAT – GALLERIA SHOPPING CENTER RESOLUTION NO. 2008-115 APPROVED</u> Affidavits of Notice presented and ordered placed on file.

## Planner Presentation

Planning Director Teague stated that this plat to create separate lots for Crate and Barrel was continued from the past meeting to allow the applicant to be present and the City Attorney to review the easement documents. The City Attorney found the current parking arrangement would remain intact and individual lot owners could not opt out of the easement agreement.

#### Proponent Presentation

Warren Beck, 4700 Linwood Circle, Greenwood, representing the Galleria Shopping Center, explained the Galleria Shopping Center had two separate real estate tractks but operated as a single unit for daily operations, parking and common areas. He advised that leases are structured so each paid its own real estate taxes to Hennepin County. The purpose of this plat was to keep separate legal descriptions as well as separate tax parcels.

The Council discussed that each store's use of its premises was governed by a lease and would not specifically provide the opportunity to expand without renegotiation of the lease and processing of a plan approval, if required by Edina. The properties are also governed by the final development plans that were filed for the property.

Mayor Hovland opened the public hearing at 7:13 p.m.

## Public Testimony

No one appeared to testify.

Member Housh made a motion, seconded by Member Swenson, to close the public hearing.

Ayes: Bennett, Housh, Masica, Swenson, Hovland

Motion carried.

Member Swenson introduced Resolution No. 2008-115, Resolution Approving a Final Plat for a Registered Land Survey for the Galleria. Member Housh seconded the motion.

Rollcall:

Ayes: Bennett, Housh, Masica, Swenson, Hovland

Motion carried.

# PUBLIC HEARING HELD ON COMPREHENSIVE PLAN – RESOLUTION NO. 2008-134 APPROVED

Affidavits of Notice presented and ordered placed on file.

Mayor Hovland thanked the many citizens and groups for their participation and hard work in drafting the Comprehensive Plan.

Assistant City Manager Worthington reviewed stated that in late 2006 the City undertook the process to update the Comprehensive Plan as required by State Statute. She advised reviewed of the process taken, used, led by the Planning Commission, task force groups, citizens, staff members and consultants. The Council received the draft Comprehensive Plan on March 3, 2008, had now completed its review, and directed staff to schedule a public hearing for December 2, 2008. Ms. Worthington stated if the draft resolution was approved, the Comprehensive Plan would be submitted to the Metropolitan Council for its review process, which could take up to one year. When completed, the Council would be asked to adopt the Comprehensive Plan sometime in 2009 and then make Zoning Code amendments within nine months to comply with the guidelines of the Comprehensive Plan.

Mayor Hovland opened the public hearing at 7:18 p.m.

## Public Testimony

Sharon Ming, 1103 Coventry Place, stated she was a 27-year resident and served on the Human Rights and Relations Commission for 19 years. She also served on the Housing Task Force that helped draft the Housing Section of this Plan. Ms. Ming detailed the open process created by the Council that included over a hundred volunteers, hundreds of committee meetings and public listening sessions. These meetings were attended by hundreds of citizens who provided creative input that would guide the City's development and growth over the next 10-15 years. Ms. Ming estimated that 120 meetings were held and at a value of \$25 per hour, there was probably \$100,000 worth of free volunteer time from people with expertise. Ms. Ming asserted that over the last nine months, since the Council undertook its review of the Plan, the Council had subverted its own public process. She suggested the Council made substantial changes without the benefit of community input and did not release the final draft to the public until just eight days ago, over the Thanksgiving weekend. Ms. Ming stated she felt this draft of the Comprehensive Plan had a lot of background and history; however, very little vision or strategy and very few ideas about what Edina wanted to see happen over next 10-15 years. She encouraged the Council not to approve or submit this Plan to the Metropolitan Council because it would not serve Edina well.

Cappy Moore, 6768 Valley View Road, stated she was a 24-year resident and in 2000 was one of four residents representing three area churches who met to discuss Edina's housing situations through the eyes of the faith community who wondered what Edina would look like if it became more diverse. She advised of the 30-40 meetings that were held over the next seven years including two public forums in 2004. Ms. Moore stated that Council Member Masica attended a public forum and had indicated her surprise to see 150 people in favor of affordable housing. Mayor Hovland had attended the 2006 public forum and gave the key address about change coming to Edina, a first-ring suburb, and the need to keep Edina moving into the future. Ms. Moore noted the public had spoken for more affordable housing, but it was cut from the Comprehensive Plan. She reviewed her involvement to attend meetings with diverse groups who spoke of their desire for an economically diverse city. Ms. Moore asked what happened to the Edina's vision and stated she did not understand why the Council disregarded the work charged to the Task Force and the public's input. She stated that as a member of a strong united faith community, a

Task Force member, and mother to six grown children, she believed there was room in Edina for families, single moms with one income wanting a secure environment, and newly-arrived immigrants. Ms. Moore stated Edina would be a better community for saying "yes" to those who want a new life in Edina. She asked the Council to be responsive to the work and moral fiber of this community and to reconsider and look with fresh eyes at the recommendations originally presented in the Comprehensive Plan.

Sister Mary Madonna Ashton, 4401 Valley View Road, Apartment 2, stated she was a 26-year resident and excited to attend meetings about the Comprehensive Plan that showed an effort to revitalize the community by encouraging young families, professionals and skilled workers to live as well as work in Edina. Sister Ashton stated that young people were needed to revitalize the community and asked the Council to explain why, in Chapter 5, Section 10, the goals stated by the Housing Task Force and unanimously approved by the Council to study in 2006 got scraped from the Comprehensive Plan. She stated she was very disappointed.

Sally Krusell, 6229 Hanson Road, stated she was a 24-year resident who moved to Edina from Highland Park because she wanted her daughter to attend Edina schools. She was a single parent and served on the Housing Task Force, spent a lot of time volunteering and bringing in experts who volunteered their time to provide information. Ms. Krusell stated she was floored by all that was cut from the Housing Plan and asked why she wasted her time as a volunteer. She read a portion of the Housing Succession Plan indicating: "mix of housing types and values was necessary to insure that those who contribute to the community can live in the community if they desire" and asked why that was stricken from the Plan. Ms. Krusell stated her children attended Edina schools but now cannot afford to live here. Ms. Krusell urged the Council to not accept the Comprehensive Plan.

Patrick Downey, 7501 Hyde Park Drive, stated he was a 25-year resident, had sons and a career raising institutional capital for commercial developers. He followed the Comprehensive Plan cycle and was impressed with the professionalism and citizen input. However, he was shocked that the Council removed portions of the Housing Section. Mr. Downey referenced Chapter 5-21, Item 3, and asked why the 500-unit goal for affordable housing was reduced to 212 units, noting some units would have gone to seniors. He stated his son married an Edina girl but lives in St. Louis Park because they cannot afford a house in Edina. Mr. Downey stated Edina needed to be revitalized by attracting young families to maintain its tax base and schools. He suggested affordable housing would provide better opportunity to attract young families, nurses, teachers and firefighters. Mr. Downey asked why the recommendation had been struck encouraging multiple building types or the expansion of mixed-use development. Mr. Downey urged the Council not to pass the Comprehensive Plan as written and to review the document as drafted by the Planning Commission that incorporated a long-term vision for the City and housing recommendations.

Rev. Gregory Welch, Church of St. Patrick, 6820 St. Patrick's Lane, stated he speaks to the question not of product or process but that the people who had come to the hearings and put together the Comprehensive Plan document were in an unresolved conundrum as to what was done. He pointed out it only takes two Council Members to see the document was not passed tonight. Rev. Welch stated the City Council and Mayor were credible people who listen and if they disagree, have an articulate way to do so; however, that negotiation takes time. He stated the deadline was the end of December but it could be extended for that type of reason. Rev. Welch commented that years ago, Edina was a "sandbox", but development happened because of the creativity of community leaders. Now creativity was needed to provide housing for seniors and the people who work here, teaching in Edina's schools and serving on the police force. Rev. Welch urged the Council to take more time so there can be further dialogue.

Stefan Helgeson, 3609 West 55<sup>th</sup> Street, stated he was a 28-year resident and echoed the comments already expressed tonight. He displayed Chapter 2, Vision and Objectives of the Draft Plan, and drew attention to the areas that had been removed. He concurred with the opinion that the public process had been truncated, which was unfortunate because many people cared about what happened in Edina. Mr. Helgeson stated the Council had in its hands the vision of Edina and could stretch to serve the future or

squander it by not hearing the participants in this process. He stated he was a participant and was very concerned about the process and precedence this set in Edina. Mr. Helgeson suggested that Edina was sitting on its laurels while surrounding communities were getting award-winning developments. He stressed the need to become a leader in developing Edina, a suburban first-ring community, through an urban process. He felt the Comprehensive Plan did not address those future opportunities to become leaders, noting LRT had passed Edina by and the Council had said "no" to bike trails that would have provided connection to other communities. Mr. Helgeson suggested the Comprehensive Plan was the vehicle to bring Edina into the next decade and he could not understand how the Comprehensive Plan ended in its current state. He recommended the Council not approve the Comprehensive Plan and look at it again.

Dan Gieseke, 6800 Point Drive, stated he was a 17-year resident and attracted to Edina by its leadership, innovation and being a premier suburb. He stated the Comprehensive Plan should be the "tool" spoken about by others that would set Edina apart. Mr. Gieseke stated he participated in the public meetings and was excited about the process to provide input but now thought that residents were being short changed with this draft of the Comprehensive Plan. He urged the Council to reconsider the prior information from public input and consulting groups. He noted there had been considerable expense, time and effort in this process and that many of the people who worked on the Update to the Plan did not feel right about the outcome.

Gene Persha, 6917 Cornelia Drive, stated he had attended more meetings of the Comprehensive Plan than most citizens and did not like how it started or finished but thanked all who participated. He stated he had read the document three or four times and while he was not satisfied with the document, he understood reality. Mr. Persha stated he took strong exception to the sentence in Section 4-1 indicating: "Land uses in Edina are the result of dynamic natural forces that shape the present landscape." He stated he had noticed that development went hand-in-hand with infrastructure, and there was a profound impact if infrastructure was lacking. He suggested some areas of the City would take Small Area Plans and stated his concern that Edina needed better citizen participation and citizens should be able to choose their own representatives instead of having them appointed by the Council. Mr. Persha referenced Section 5-10, Neighborhood Character, and indicated that he liked to think Edina's neighborhoods had character, but it was incumbent on commercial and office uses to be incorporated into that character and complementary to the residential neighborhood. He suggested broadening the definition of rental property since residents in all parts of Edina had become concerned about rental of individual homes, which they believed were a defect in their neighborhood. Mr. Persha stated he was not sure whether licensing or a time limit was needed, but people who rented out homes had an obligation to keep up their property location. He felt that mixed-use was not the only answer to increased density, and green space was never a tradeoff for higher building height. He stated his concern that some of the pictures used for illustration in the Comprehensive Plan were not of Edina property.

Bernadette Daly, 4521 Sedum Lane, stated she was a 26-year resident with five children. Ms. Daly had followed with interest the public hearings and meetings at the Church of St. Patrick on housing and been impressed with the Council's engagement in seeking ways to help provide housing and economic diversity. She asked the Council to explain why, in Chapter 5, Page 19, Live Work Buildings and Mixed-Use Housing had been dropped. Ms. Daly suggested that other communities had wonderful examples of mixed-use buildings that were attractive, successful and visionary. She asked why Edina could not have the same and asked the Council to reconsider and put that type of housing back into the Comprehensive Plan.

John Morial, 6566 France Avenue South, stated he moved from a smaller community when his children finished school and now lived at Point of France. Mr. Morial said Point of France had been built in 1976 and was state-of-the-art in design and worthy of Edina at that time. He stated he was now a senior citizen, had lived in Edina for 16 years and enjoyed having Lake Cornelia within two blocks, wooded areas, pathways, wonderful neighborhoods, as well as all the services, stores and shops, all within several blocks. Mr. Morial stated that Mayor Hovland's presentation at the Church of St. Patrick addressed the vision of the Comprehensive Plan and Southdale Plan. He had been impressed with the urban/suburban vision of bikeways, pathways and had looked forward to enjoying that combination. Mr.

Morial asked what had happened to the vision, because it appeared to have been removed from the original report on which many hours had been spent. He urged the Council to vote "no" on the Comprehensive Plan so the Council could put vision back into the Plan before its approval.

John Bohan, 800 Coventry Place, stated he was a 12-year resident and had lived the preceding 40 years in southeast Minneapolis, always aspiring to live in Edina but unable to afford it. Mr. Bohan indicated he felt overwhelmed by people saying there was no vision and Edina was not doing the right thing. He stated he had heard a radio broadcast that there were no problems in Edina with foreclosures so something must be going right. Mr. Bohan said that initially the Draft Comprehensive Plan was the vision of a consultant whose focus was urban development. He suggested that over the course of 2007, the document began to reflect community input. Mr. Bohan stated he attended meetings and found interesting and sometimes heated discussions where the public got a chance to express their views, which caused changes in the original draft. For this reason, he said he felt it was not fair to say the Plan did not reflect the input from the community. Mr. Bohan stated that during 2008 the Council held many work sessions during which conflicts were resolved and ambiguities eliminated. He thought the Comprehensive Plan was a good representation and applauded the Council for their work. Mr. Bohan distributed seven suggestions to the Council.

Douglas Mayo, 6041 Kellogg Avenue S., stated he returned to Minnesota in 1976 and chose to move to Edina where he became engaged in community activities including Chair of the Housing Task Force. Mr. Mayo explained he moved to Edina because it was a premier community where you could make a home, educate and raise your family. However, in the last few years he had come to question Edina's preeminence, which may no longer exist. Mr. Mayo stated his career was in real estate development, and he had seen competing communities get superior developments, recreation, transportation facilities and schools to challenge Edina. He expressed concern that Edina was changing, and possibly not for the better. Mr. Mayo described areas of Edina that contained deteriorating housing, outdoor storage, vacant lease space, a decrepit shopping center and blighted neighborhoods. He stated the draft Comprehensive Plan prepared by the Planning Commission had strategies to deal with these conditions and a vision for Edina with exciting opportunities for redevelopment of designated areas through category and mixed-use, with a wide range of life cycle housing for people of all economic standings. Mr. Mayo asked why all the strategies were deleted. He suggested the Comprehensive Plan before Council would result in the status quo, discourage innovation, not attract young families, not provide a range of housing, sense of a future or positive direction. He stated that if you envisioned an Edina that strives, then the Council should revisit the Comprehensive Plan and consider the research, expertise, wisdom and input of the Planning Commission, Housing Task Force, residents and consultants.

Joellen Deever, 7405 Oaklawn Avenue, stated that she had been listening to comments and believed that no one was going to agree on a single issue. She thanked all who participated and commented that it was good to see familiar faces tonight of those who had attended the many Comprehensive Plan meetings. She commented that she found it interesting that Lewis Park Area did not want a restaurant, coffee shop, filling station or tall building. She stated that like Father Welch, she also remembered when Edina was considered a "sand box." Ms. Deever stated it had been an experience, pleasure and there were many people to thank.

Bob Aderhold, 3529 West 54th Street, stated he was a 12-year resident and served on the Affordable Housing Task Force that submitted its report to the Council two years ago. He stated that report had been accepted by the Council and was to form the philosophical basis of the Housing Chapter in the Comprehensive Plan. He stated he had been part of that process and worked with wonderful people representing a broad cross section of Edina. Mr. Aderhold stated he felt the report provided very modest goals for the City, and he was disappointed to see that very few of those goals made it into the final Comprehensive Plan. He encourage the Council to revisit the Plan, which many felt was less visionary than hoped, especially in regard to the Housing chapter.

Member Masica made a motion, seconded by Member Swenson, to close the public hearing.

Ayes: Bennett, Housh, Masica, Swenson, Hovland Motion carried.

The Council discussion included: Reading of Page 46 of the Housing Succession Plan that included five strategies recommended by the Task Force. Two of the strategies were incorporated in full and two incorporated in part in the Comprehensive Plan. Specifically, the Plan adopted the Metropolitan Council goal of 212 new units of affordable housing, encouraged mix use development throughout most of the City where infrastructure was available or could be funded, advocated use of land trusts and second mortgages to facilitate affordable home ownership, and recommended be3tter coordination and marketing of existing programs. high number of affordable housing units, mixed-use in most of the City with the qualifier that infrastructure was available or could be funded, Edina's work with the Land Trust to acquire houses, a mortgage program and organizations such as the Greater Metropolitan Housing Program. It was noted that mandatory exclusionary zoning had been eliminated from the draft Comprehensive Plan. The Council agreed the Comprehensive Plan had included one of the most complete processes ever seen and reflected exactly what was said in all the public meetings. The Council considered that this draft of the Comprehensive Plan was released to the public eight days in advance of this public hearing and during Thanksgiving weekend, but that the previous draft containing many of the changes discussed this evening had been published at the end of October. However, It was felt that continuing the public hearing would not be a good use of time because additional substantive changes would not occur.

The Council discussed that it was elected to incorporate the community's vision into the Comprehensive Plan and had spent a lot of time attending meetings to ascertain that vision. The Council had found Edina's citizens embrace diversity, and young families and citizens want people who work here to live here. That was the reason high density new development at Cahill Gardens was found not to be timely because the area was already a successful core of employment with growing and expanding light industrial companies. The Council agreed it wanted to protect residential neighborhoods,—and create thriving commercial nodes, but de did not want to jeopardize residential neighborhoods, so were—was concerned about having too much rental housing. The Council had negotiated through vigorous debate, a Comprehensive Plan that struck a balance and equilibrium, which at least four Members could support. It was felt that Edina would continue to be innovative and attract people to live and work.

The Council discussed its appreciation for those who participated in the process and that it should have informed the Housing Task Force earlier in the process that exclusionary mandatory inclusionary zoning was a concern did not have support so it was not a surprise to them. It was noted that Chapter 2, Vision and Goals originally had incorporated Vision 20/20, the City's Vision Statement first adopted in 2000 and revised in 2003, with changes that had not been made by public process. In removing Vision 20/20/ from Chapter 2, the Council committed to hold a public process soon to revisit and update it. , was changed to incorporate the 2020 document Visioning Statement that was originally finalized in 2000 and updated in 2003. In addition, there was a commitment to revisit the 2020 vision soon. The Council reaffirmed that input from public listening sessions indicated a clear, strong and overwhelming majority of residents did not expect the community would remain unchanged but the kind of place they moved to with a balance of residential neighborhoods and suburban environment, not an urban environment. The Live Work Buildings were struck from the Plan due to a Fire Code issue and Accessory Buildings Dwelling Units were removed because public input indicated if they would be destructive to the pattern of residential neighborhoods.

The Council acknowledged that while each member had areas they'd like to "tweak," the Plan had been worked on for two years, there had been a lot of negotiation and the Plan continued embodied the community values and input heard from most who wrote or testified strengly. The Council acknowledged the disappointment and feelings of disenfranchisement of expressed by some residents. Mayor Hovland stated he believed the Plan did not reflect the majority opinion of this Council or his vision discussed at the Church of St. Patrick meeting but was a document fashioned to satisfy the requirements of the Metropolitan Council. He stated his concern that the elements of density, height and housing have fallen short of the mark and would not provide a framework for transportation and sustainable communities. Mayor Hovland stated that he knew Council Members Masica and Bennett attended many meetings and felt the Plan reflected the vision of many in the community but he felt it reflected the vision of those who chose to show up.

Member Masica introduced Resolution No. 2008-134, Approving the Submission of the 2008 Comprehensive Plan Update to the Metropolitan Council. Member Bennett seconded the motion.

Rollcall:

Ayes: Bennett, Housh, Masica, Swenson, Hovland

Motion carried.

<u>PUBLIC HEARING HELD ON LIQUOR FEE INCREASES FOR 2009 – ORDINANCE NO. 2008-10 ADOPTED SETTING VARIOUS FEES FOR 2009</u> Affidavits of Notice presented and ordered placed on file.

City Manager Hughes explained that increasing liquor fees required the holding of a public hearing and adoption of an ordinance. He advised of the suggested fee increases and that the cost covered the City's expense to enforce the ordinance, process the application, conduct quarterly checks on restaurants for underage service and enforcement issues that could occur. Unique to Edina was to offer a license fee credit of \$500 after completion of one calendar year and \$1,000 after completion of two calendar years of successful license checks. Mr. Hughes explained that Ordinance No. 2008-10 also contained the other fees set by ordinance that were annually adjusted.

Mayor Hovland opened the public hearing at 8:41 p.m.

#### Public Testimony

No one appeared to testimony.

Member Swenson made a motion, seconded by Member Housh, to close the public hearing.

Ayes: Bennett, Housh, Masica, Swenson, Hovland

Motion carried.

Member Masica made a motion to grant First Reading and waive Second Reading, adopting Ordinance No. 2008-10 Amending Code Section 185 Increasing Certain Fees effective January 1, 2009. Member Swenson seconded the motion.

Roll call:

Aves: Bennett, Housh, Masica, Swenson, Hovland

Motion carried.

#### **PUBLIC COMMENT**

No one appeared to comment.

\*AWARD OF BID – ONE 2009 FORD CROWN VICTORIA SQUAD CAR Motion made by Member Bennett and seconded by Member Masica awarding the bid for one 2009 Ford Crown Victoria Police Interceptor to the recommended low bidder, Elk River Ford Crown Victoria at \$22,276.36.

Motion carried on rollcall vote - five ayes.

**RESOLUTION NO. 2008-123 APPROVED ACCEPTING VARIOUS DONATIONS** Mayor Hovland explained that in order to comply with State Statutes, all donations to the City must be adopted by Resolution and approved by four favorable votes of the Council accepting the donations. **Member Bennett introduced Resolution No. 2008-123 accepting Various Donations.** Member Housh seconded the motion.

Rollcall:

Ayes: Bennett, Housh, Masica, Swenson, Hovland

Motion carried.

\*RESOLUTION NO. 2008-125 APPROVED AUTHORIZING AN APPLICATION TO THE MET COUNCIL FOR A LIVABLE COMMUNITIES ACT TAX BASE REVITALIZATION GRANT Motion made by Member Bennett and seconded by Member Masica to approve Resolution No. 2008-125

Authorizing An Application to the Met Council for a Livable Communities Act Tax Base Revitalization Grant.

Motion carried on rollcall vote – five ayes.

\*HEARING DATE SET – DECEMBER 16, 2008 – PRELIMINARY DEVELOPMENT PLAN AND PRELIMINARY REZONING, EBENEZER SOCIETY ON BEHALF OF 7500 YORK AVENUE COOPERATIVE Motion made by Member Bennett and seconded by Member Masica to set the hearing date for December 16, 2008, Preliminary Development Plan and Preliminary Rezoning, Ebenezer Society on behalf of 7500 York Avenue.

Motion carried on rollcall vote – five ayes.

\*CONFIRMATION OF CLAIMS PAID Motion made by Member Bennett and seconded by Member Masica approving payment of the following claims as shown in detail on the Check Register dated November 20, 2008, and consisting of 26 pages; General Fund \$277,407.48; Communications Fund \$222.95; Working Capital Fund \$3,700.75; Art Center Fund \$1,276.00; Golf Dome Fund \$55.03; Aquatic Center Fund \$2,251.48; Golf Course Fund \$4,727.13; Ice Arena Fund \$16,041.25; Edinborough/Centennial Lakes Fund \$9,571.02; Liquor Fund \$211,756.04; Utility Fund \$70,669.46; Storm Sewer Fund \$139.38; Recycling Fund \$38,600.10; PSTF Agency Fund \$2,627.03; TOTAL \$639,045.10 and for approval of payment of claims dated November 27, 2008, and consisting of 66 pages: General Fund \$166,362.81; Communications Fund \$3,514.21; Working Capital Fund \$1,398,923.60; Construction Fund \$90,331.71; Art Center Fund \$22,922.64; Golf Dome Fund \$2,507.58; Aquatic Center Fund \$702.00; Golf Course Fund \$5,812.97; Ice Arena Fund \$331.36; Edinborough/Centennial Lakes Fund \$22,403.45; Liquor Fund \$185,036.65; Utility Fund \$1,091,375.23; Storm Sewer \$182,736.70; PSTF Agency Fund \$2,116.15; TOTAL \$3,175,077.06.

Motion carried on rollcall vote – five ayes.

RESOLUTION NO. 2008-120 APPROVED – SETTING YEAR 2009 PARK AND RECREATION FEES AND CHARGES City Manager Hughes stated the fees were considered and recommended by the Park Board. Member Masica introduced Resolution No. 2008-120 Setting Year 2009 Park and Recreation Fees and Charges. Member Housh seconded the motion.

Rollcall:

Aves: Bennett, Housh, Masica, Swenson, Hovland

Motion carried.

RESOLUTION NO. 2008-121 APPROVED – SETTING YEAR 2009 AMBULANCE FEES Fire Chief Scheerer reviewed the 2009 rates to be charged, noting Edina's rates are slightly below the median. He advised of the Medicare reimbursement, noting 67% of the calls receive this reimbursement. Member Masica introduced Resolution No. 2008-120 Setting Year 2009 Ambulance Fees. Member Bennett seconded the motion.

Rollcall:

Ayes: Bennett, Housh, Masica, Swenson, Hovland

Motion carried.

RESOLUTION NO. 2008-122 APPROVED – SETTING YEAR 2009 MISCELLANEOUS FEES City Manager Hughes stated the fees were for specialized responses from the Fire Department. Member Swenson introduced Resolution No. 2008-122 Setting Year 2009 Miscellaneous Fees. Member Housh seconded the motion.

Rollcall:

Ayes: Bennett, Housh, Masica, Swenson, Hovland

Motion carried.

<u>MEETING SCHEDULED WITH LEGISLATIVE DELEGATION</u> Council consensus was reached to schedule a meeting on December 16, 2008, at 4 p.m. with Edina's legislative delegation if possible. Mr. Hughes would advise the Council whether the legislators were available.

at 8:58 p.m.	
Respectfully submitted,	
	Debra A. Mangen, City Clerk

There being no further business on the Council Agenda, Mayor Hovland declared the meeting adjourned